IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 15364 of 2004

Between:

Sri P.Ravikanth Reddy, S/o.Nawaz Reddy,
Podur (V), (M),RR District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Collector, (CS), R.R.District at Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 The R.D.O, Vikarabad Division, R.R.District at Vikarabad, Town.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particulary one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.2 for non issue of authorisation basing on the Selection Order Lr.No.I/918/1999 dt.3-7-2004 is illegal arbitrary and pass such other order or orders in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.BOLLAM LINGAIAH YADAV

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

ORDER:

The petitioner states that he has been appointed as a Fair Price Shop Dealer of F.P.Shop No.II of Poduru village in the month of June 2004 vide proceedings No.I/918/1999 dated 03-07-2004, issued by the 2nd respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Vikarabad Division, Ranga Reddy District at Vikarabad Town. The grievance of the petitioner is that though he has submitted the necessary security bonds as required under the order of appointment dated 03-07-2004, the 2nd respondent has not issued the authorization in his favour so far. A copy of the proceedings dated 03-07-2004, signed by the Revenue Divisional Officer, has been placed before this Court, which shows that the petitioner has been appointed as Fair Price Shop Dealer and he is also directed to comply with certain formalities as specified therewith. When the matter was taken up for consideration on 30-08-2004, the learned Government Pleader sought time for getting instructions. Today the learned Government Pleader under instructions states that before granting the

authorization, it is necessary for the appointing authority to verify the antecedents of the selected candidate and it is also necessary to get the clearance reports from the Mandal Revenue Officers concerned as specified under the guidelines and that the necessary authorization will be issued to the petitioner as soon as the said process is completed.

In the circumstances, no further adjudication is necessary and accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the 2nd respondent to grant the necessary authorization to the petitioner after completing the necessary verifications in accordance with law expeditiously. No costs.

_____

07-09-2004

Note: Issue C.C in two days.

B/o

vs

To

1 The Joint Collector, (CS), R.R.District at Saifabad, Hyderabad.
2 The R.D.O, Vikarabad Division, R.R.District at Vikarabad, Town.

3 Two CCs to the G.P for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad(O.U.T).

4 Two CD copies.